

What is the study of Automata Theory?

- The study of abstract computing devices, or "machines."
- Days before digital computers
 - What is possible to compute with an abstract machine
 - Seminal work by Alan Turing
- Why is this useful?
 - Direct application to creating compilers, programming languages, designing applications.
 - Formal framework to analyze new types of computing devices, e.g. biocomputers or quantum computers.
 - Develop mathematically mature computer scientists capable of precise and formal reasoning!
- 5 major topics in Automata Theory

Finite State Automata

- Deterministic and non-deterministic finite state machines
- Regular expressions and languages.
- Techniques for identifying and describing regular languages; techniques for showing that a language is not regular. Properties of such languages.



- Context-free grammars, parse trees
- Derivations and ambiguity
- Relation to pushdown automata. Properties of such languages and techniques for showing that a language is not context-free.

Turing Machines

- Basic definitions and relation to the notion of an algorithm or program.
- Power of Turing Machines.

Undecidability and Complexity

- Undecidability
 - Recursive and recursively enumerable languages.
 - Universal Turing Machines.
 - Limitations on our ability to compute; undecidable problems.
- Computational Complexity
 - Decidable problems for which no sufficient algorithms are known.
 - Polynomial time computability.
 - The notion of NP-completeness and problem reductions.
 - Examples of hard problems.
- Let's start with a big-picture overview of these 5 topics

Finite State Automata

- Automata plural of "automaton"
 i.e. a robot
- Finite state automata then a "robot composed of a finite number of states"
 - Informally, a finite list of states with transitions between the states
- Useful to model hardware, software, algorithms, processes
 - Software to design and verify circuit behavior
 - Lexical analyzer of a typical compiler
 - Parser for natural language processing
 - An efficient scanner for patterns in large bodies of text (e.g. text search on the web)
 - Verification of protocols (e.g. communications, security).



Automata Example Consider an automaton to parse an HTML document that attempts to identify title-author pairs in a bulleted or ordered list. This might be useful to generate a reading list of some sort

- automatically.
 Example:

 Computation by Michael Sipser
- A hypothetical automaton to address this task is shown next that scans for the letters "by" inside a list item







Furnace Notes

- We left out connections that have no effect - E.g. connecting W and G
- Once the logic in the automata has been formalized, the model can be used to construct an actual circuit to control the furnace (i.e., a thermostat).
- The model can also help to identify states that may be dangerous or problematic.
 - E.g. state with Burner On and Blower Off could overhead the furnace
 - Want to avoid this state or add some additional states to prevent failure from occurring (e.g., a timeout or failsafe)







Mathematical Notions

- Skipping these topics, but they're briefly described in the textbook
 - Sets
 - Empty set, subset, union, Venn diagram, etc.
 - Sequences
 - Tuples
 - Functions and Relations
 - Mapping from Domain to Range
 - Boolean Logic







Graph Terminology

- The **degree** of a vertex in an undirected graph is the number of edges that leave/enter the vertex.
- The degree of a vertex in a directed graph is the same, but we distinguish between in-degree and out-degree. Degree = in-degree + out-degree.
- A path from u to v is <u, w1, ...v> and (u,w1)(w1,w2)(w2,w3)...(w_n,v)
- The running time of a graph algorithm expressed in terms of E and V, where E = |E| and V=|V|; e.g. G=O(EV) is |E| * |V|



Language Definitions (1)

- An alphabet is a finite, nonempty set of symbols. By convention we use the symbol ∑ for an alphabet.
 - In the previous example, our alphabet consisted of words, but normally our alphabet will consist of individual characters.
 - Examples
 - $\Sigma = \{0,1\}$ the binary alphabet
 - $\Sigma = \{a, b, \dots z\}$ the set of all lowercase letters



Language Definitions (3)

- The **length** of a string indicates how many symbols are in that string.
 - E.g., the string 0101 using the binary alphabet has a length of 4.
 - The standard notation for a string w is to use
 Iwl. For example, |0101| is 4.



- Powers of an alphabet
 - If \sum is an alphabet, we can express the set of all strings of a certain length from that alphabet by using an exponential notation.
 - $\sum_{k=1}^{k}$ is defined to be the set of strings of length k, each of whose symbols is in $\sum_{k=1}^{k}$.
- For example, given the alphabet $\Sigma = \{0,1,2\}$ then:

$$-\sum_{0}^{0} = \{\varepsilon\}$$

- $\Sigma^{1} = \{0, 1, 2\}$
- $\Sigma^2 = \{00, 01, 02, 10, 11, 12, 20, 21, 22\}$
- $\Sigma^3 = \{000, 001, 002, \dots 222\}$
- Note that ∑ and ∑¹ are different. The first is the alphabet; its members are 0,1,2. The second is the set of strings whose members are the strings 0,1,2, each a string of length 1.
- By convention, we will try to use lower-case letters at the beginning of the alphabet to denote symbols, and lower-case letters near the end of the alphabet to represent strings.

Language Definitions (5)

- Set of all Strings
 - The set of all strings over an alphabet is denoted by Σ^* . That is:

$$\sum^* = \sum^0 \cup \sum^1 \cup \sum^2 \cup \dots$$

- Sometimes it is useful to exclude the empty string from the set of strings. The set of nonempty strings from the alphabet is denoted by Σ^+ .



Formal Definition of Languages

- We have finally covered enough definitions to formally define a language!
- A Language
 - A set of strings all of which are chosen from some Σ^* is called a **language**.
 - If Σ is an alphabet and L is a subset of Σ^* then L is a language over Σ .
 - Note that a language need not include all strings in Σ^* .



Language Definition - Problem

- A **problem** is the question of deciding whether a given string is a member of some particular language.
 - More colloquially, a problem is expressed as membership in the language.
 - Languages and problems are basically the same thing.
 When we care about the strings, we tend to think of it as a language. When we assign semantics to the strings, e.g. maybe the strings encode graphs, logical expressions, or integers, then we will tend to think of the set of strings as a solution to the problem.











Introduction to Formal Proof

- In this class, sometimes we will give formal proofs and at other times intuitive "proofs"
- Mostly inductive proofs
- First, a bit about deductive proofs

Deductive Proofs

- Given a hypothesis H, and some statements, generate a conclusion C
- Sherlock Holmes style of reasoning
- Example: consider the following theorem
 - If $x \ge 4$ then $2^x \ge x^2$
 - Here, H is $x \ge 4$ and C is $2^x \ge x^2$
 - Intuitive deductive proof
 - Each time x increases by one, the left hand side doubles in size. However, the right side increases by the ratio $((x+1)/x)^2$. When x=4, this ratio is 1.56. As x increases and approaches infinity, the ratio $((x+1)/x)^2$ approaches 1. This means the ratio gets smaller as x increases. Consequently, 1.56 is the largest that the right hand side will increase. Since 1.56 < 2, the left side is increasing faster than the right side











- If Elvis is the king of rock and roll, then Elvis lives. Elvis is the king of rock and roll. Therefore Elvis is alive. Valid or invalid?
 - This argument is valid, in that the conclusion is established (by Modus ponens) if the premises are true. However, if you consider the first premise to be false (unless you live in Vegas) then the conclusion is false.



Short Exercises (3)

- If New York is a big city, then New York has lots of people. New York has lots of people. Therefore New York is a big city. Valid or invalid?
 - This argument is invalid, even though the conclusion is true. We are given H⇒C and given C. This does not mean that C⇒H so we can't infer H is true.



Proof by Contrapositive

- Proof by contrapositive takes advantage of the logical equivalence between "H implies C" and "Not C implies Not H".
- For example, the assertion "If it is my car, then it is red" is equivalent to "If that car is not red, then it is not mine".
- To prove "If P, Then Q" by the method of contrapositive means to prove "If Not Q, Then Not P".



Contrapositive Example

- Proof of the theorem
 - The contrapositive version of this theorem is "If x and y are two integers with opposite parity, then their sum must be odd."
 - Assume x and y have opposite parity.
 - Since one of these integers is even and the other odd, there is no loss of generality to suppose x is even and y is odd.
 - Thus, there are integers k and m for which x = 2k and y
 - = 2m+1. Then, we compute the sum x+y = 2k + 2m + 1
 - = 2(k+m) + 1, which is an odd integer by definition.



Proof by Induction

- Essential for proving recursively defined objects
- We can perform induction on integers, automata, and concepts like trees or graphs.
- To make an inductive proof about a statement S(X) we need to prove two things:
 - 1. Basis: Prove for one or several small values of X directly.
 - 2. Inductive step: Assume S(Y) for Y "smaller than" X; then prove S(X) using that assumption.



Familiar Induction Example

Next prove the induction. Assume n ≥ 0. We must prove that the theorem implies the same formula when n is larger. For integers, we will use n+1 as the next largest value. This means that the formula should hold with n +1 substituted for n: n+1 (n+1)(n+2)

for n:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} i = \frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2}$$

$$= \frac{n^2 + 3n + 2}{2}$$

• This should equal what we came up with previously if we just add on an extra n+1 term: n+1 $\binom{n}{n}$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} i = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} i\right) + (n+1)$$



Second Induction Example

- If $x \ge 4$ then $2^x \ge x^2$
- Basis: If x=4, then 2^x is 16 and x² is 16. Thus, the theorem holds.
- Induction: Suppose for some x ≥4 that 2^x ≥ x². With this statement as the hypothesis, we need to prove the same statement, with x+1 in place of x: 2^(x+1) ≥ (x+1)²

